

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/730,997	SUGIMURA, NAOAKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit Long Nguyen	2816

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Long Nguyen. (3) _____.
 (2) Mr. Donald Studebaker. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 November 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 5 and 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the minor informality in claim 5 and the indefinite problem in claim 10. Applicant agrees to amend claims 5 (inser --generator-- before "including on line 6) and 10 (change "monitoring unit" on line 10 to --voltage comparator--) to overcome the informality and indefinite problems of claims 5 and 10.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required